UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,183	01/03/2007	Eiji Sunahara	65792(46342)	1851
	7590 12/02/201 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, RROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Each State of the Communication of the Co		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
ANNE L HOLLERAN 1643		10/584,183	SUNAHARA ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Leatenages of time may be available useful to provide useful to	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Interest St. (5) IACON Tries the realistic state of this communication If No period for exply is specified above, he maximum ablation priority unique part and experience in the maring date of this communication If No period for exply is specified above, he maximum ablation priority unique part and experience in the maring date of this communication If No period for exply is specified to exply in the first abundance in the maring date of this communication If No period for exply is included to explore the maring date of this communication If No period for exply is included to explore the maring date of this communication If No period to explore the maring date of this communication If No period to explore the maring date of this communication If No period to explore the maring date of this communication If No period to explore the maring date of this communication If No period the set of this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration 5 Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected 7 Claim(s) is/are allowed 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been receiv		ANNE L. HOLLERAN	1643					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elements of the may be variable under the provision of 30° ER 118(6). In event, however, may any by be timely filed after SX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute in egy which the set or calended period for eye will. By statiots, each the application to the mailing date of this communication. Failute in egy which the set or calended period for eye will. By statiots, each the application to the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the Critical bursh and provided by the communication of the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any venue period team in display which the set or calended period for eye will. By statiots, each the application to the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any venue period team in display the major that the mailing date of this communication. Phis action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4 Other above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The provided provided the provided provided to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 January 2010</u> . 2a	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application and trawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nnuary 2010						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ° c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· <u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Prefsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Intomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<i>;</i> —		secution as to the merits is					
Al) Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8808) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8808) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 15-24 is/are pending in the	application.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Poratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		r						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•		- - - - - -					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			• •					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			, tollow of 16 mm , 1 o 16 mm					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	priority under 25 LLC C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,	phonty under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) Of (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·— ·— ·—	s have been received						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	·	d III tilis National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	255 the attached detailed office action for a list	e. a.o ooranog ooploo not roocive						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
5) El milamation Discussion de distribuir (c) (1. 16.62.65)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	· 	· —	atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 1/5/2010 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3-8 and 15-24 are pending.

Claims 15-24, drawn to non-elected inventions, are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are examined on the merits.

Objections

The objection to the sequence listing is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the sequence listing.

As evidenced by the following sequence alignment between the newly submitted SEQ ID NO: 1 and reference sequence NP_064595.2, SEQ ID NO: 1 is now corrected. This corrected sequence is the same as the sequence that was present in the databases (Genbank) as of Dec. 12, 2003. This application has a filing date of 12/22/2004 and foreign priority to a Japanese patent application filed 12/24/2003. Therefore, the correction of the typographical error of SEQ ID NO: 1 does not introduce new matter into the specification as originally filed.

```
> ref | NP 064595.2 | semaphorin-4B precursor [Homo sapiens]
ref | NP 945119.1 | semaphorin-4B precursor [Homo sapiens]
dbj | BAG11357.1 | semaphorin-4B precursor [synthetic construct]
Length=837

GENE ID: 10509 SEMA4B | sema domain, immunoglobulin domain (Ig),
transmembrane
domain (TM) and short cytoplasmic domain, (semaphorin) 4B [Homo sapiens]
(Over 10 PubMed links)

Score = 1717 bits (4448), Expect = 0.0, Method: Compositional matrix
adjust.
Identities = 837/837 (100%), Positives = 837/837 (100%), Gaps = 0/837 (0%)

Query 1 MLRTAMGLRSWLAAPWGALPPRPPLLLLLLLLLLLLQPPPPTWALSPRISLPLGSEERPFL 60
MLRTAMGLRSWLAAPWGALPPRPPLLLLLLLLLLLLQPPPPTWALSPRISLPLGSEERPFL 60
```

Application/Control Number: 10/584,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

Sbjct	1	MLRTAMGLRSWLAAPWGALPPRPPLLLLLLLLLLLLQPPPPTWALSPRISLPLGSEERPFL	60
Query	61	RFEAEHISNYTALLLSRDGRTLYVGAREALFALSSNLSFLPGGEYQELLWGADAEKKQQC RFEAEHISNYTALLLSRDGRTLYVGAREALFALSSNLSFLPGGEYQELLWGADAEKKQQC	120
Sbjct	61	RFEAEHISNYTALLLSRDGRTLYVGAREALFALSSNLSFLPGGEYQELLWGADAEKKQQC	120
Query	121	SFKGKDPQRDCQNYIKILLPLSGSHLFTCGTAAFSPMCTYINMENFTLARDEKGNVLLED SFKGKDPQRDCQNYIKILLPLSGSHLFTCGTAAFSPMCTYINMENFTLARDEKGNVLLED	180
Sbjct	121	SFKGKDPQRDCQNYIKILLPLSGSHLFTCGTAAFSPMCTYINMENFTLARDEKGNVLLED	180
Query	181	GKGRCPFDPNFKSTALVVDGELYTGTVSSFQGNDPAISRSQSLRPTKTESSLNWLQDPAFGKGRCPFDPNFKSTALVVDGELYTGTVSSFQGNDPAISRSQSLRPTKTESSLNWLQDPAF	240
Sbjct	181	GKGRCPFDPNFKSTALVVDGELYTGTVSSFQGNDPAISRSQSLRPTKTESSLNWLQDPAF	240
Query	241	VASAYIPESLGSLQGDDDKIYFFFSETGQEFEFFENTIVSRIARICKGDEGGERVLQQRW VASAYIPESLGSLQGDDDKIYFFFSETGQEFEFFENTIVSRIARICKGDEGGERVLQQRW	300
Sbjct	241	VASAYIPESLGSLQGDDDKIYFFFSETGQEFEFFENTIVSRIARICKGDEGGERVLQQRW	300
Query	301	TSFLKAQLLCSRPDDGFPFNVLQDVFTLSPSPQDWRDTLFYGVFTSQWHRGTTEGSAVCV TSFLKAQLLCSRPDDGFPFNVLQDVFTLSPSPQDWRDTLFYGVFTSQWHRGTTEGSAVCV	360
Sbjct	301	TSFLKAQLLCSRPDDGFPFNVLQDVFTLSPSPQDWRDTLFYGVFTSQWHRGTTEGSAVCV	360
Query	361	FTMKDVQRVFSGLYKEVNRETQQWYTVTHPVPTPRPGACITNSARERKINSSLQLPDRVLFTMKDVQRVFSGLYKEVNRETQQWYTVTHPVPTPRPGACITNSARERKINSSLQLPDRVL	420
Sbjct	361	FTMKDVQRVFSGLYKEVNRETQQWYTVTHPVPTPRPGACITNSARERKINSSLQLPDRVL	420
Query	421	NFLKDHFLMDGQVRSRMLLLQPQARYQRVAVHRVPGLHHTYDVLFLGTGDGRLHKAVSVG NFLKDHFLMDGQVRSRMLLLQPQARYQRVAVHRVPGLHHTYDVLFLGTGDGRLHKAVSVG	480
Sbjct	421	NFLKDHFLMDGQVRSRMLLLQPQARYQRVAVHRVPGLHHTYDVLFLGTGDGRLHKAVSVG	480
Query	481	PRVHIIEELQIFSSGQPVQNLLLDTHRGLLYAASHSGVVQVPMANCSLYRSCGDCLLARD PRVHIIEELQIFSSGQPVQNLLLDTHRGLLYAASHSGVVQVPMANCSLYRSCGDCLLARD	540
Sbjct	481	PRVHIIEELQIFSSGQPVQNLLLDTHRGLLYAASHSGVVQVPMANCSLYRSCGDCLLARD	540
Query	541	PYCAWSGSSCKHVSLYQPQLATRPWIQDIEGASAKDLCSASSVVSPSFVPTGEKPCEQVQ PYCAWSGSSCKHVSLYQPQLATRPWIQDIEGASAKDLCSASSVVSPSFVPTGEKPCEQVQ	600
Sbjct	541	PYCAWSGSSCKHVSLYQPQLATRPWIQDIEGASAKDLCSASSVVSPSFVPTGEKPCEQVQ	600
Query	601	FQPNTVNTLACPLLSNLATRLWLRNGAPVNASASCHVLPTGDLLLVGTQQLGEFQCWSLE FQPNTVNTLACPLLSNLATRLWLRNGAPVNASASCHVLPTGDLLLVGTQQLGEFQCWSLE	660
Sbjct	601	FQPNTVNTLACPLLSNLATRLWLRNGAPVNASASCHVLPTGDLLLVGTQQLGEFQCWSLE	660
Query	661	EGFQQLVASYCPEVVEDGVADQTDEGGSVPVIISTSRVSAPAGGKASWGADRSYWKEFLV EGFQQLVASYCPEVVEDGVADQTDEGGSVPVIISTSRVSAPAGGKASWGADRSYWKEFLV	720
Sbjct	661	EGFQQLVASYCPEVVEDGVADQTDEGGSVPVIISTSRVSAPAGGKASWGADRSYWKEFLV	720
Query	721	MCTLFVLAVLLPVLFLLYRHRNSMKVFLKQGECASVHPKTCPVVLPPETRPLNGLGPPST MCTLFVLAVLLPVLFLLYRHRNSMKVFLKQGECASVHPKTCPVVLPPETRPLNGLGPPST	780
Sbjct	721	MCTLFVLAVLLPVLFLLYRHRNSMKVFLKQGECASVHPKTCPVVLPPETRPLNGLGPPST	780
Query	781	PLDHRGYQSLSDSPPGSRVFTESEKRPLSIQDSFVEVSPVCPRPRVRLGSEIRDSVV 83 PLDHRGYQSLSDSPPGSRVFTESEKRPLSIQDSFVEVSPVCPRPRVRLGSEIRDSVV	37
Sbjct	781	PLDHRGYQSLSDSPPGSRVFTESEKRPLSIQDSFVEVSPVCPRPRVRLGSEIRDSVV 83	37

Application/Control Number: 10/584,183 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections Withdrawn:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-14 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-second paragraph

The rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-first paragraph

The rejection claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herold (Herold, C., et al., Int. Immunology 7: 1-8, 1994) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Art Unit: 1643

The rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herold (Herold, C., et al., Int. Immunology 7: 1-8, 1994) as evidenced by Giordano (Giordano, S., et al. Nature Cell Biology, 4: 720-724, 2002; cited in the IDS) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Page 5

Claim Rejections Maintained and New Grounds of Rejection:

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-8 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15, 25, 26, 29, 30, 43-48, 52-54, and 58-63 of copending Application No. 10/540,394. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 15, 25, 26, 29, 30, 43-48, 52-54, and 58-63 of copending application no. 10/540,394 are drawn to pharmaceutical

compositions comprising an antibody that bind to SEQ ID NO: 1 and is characterized as an apoptosis promoter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicants' remarks with respect to holding this provisional rejection in abeyance are noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a Written Description rejection.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are drawn to antibodies that inhibit the binding of a protein comprising the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 1 to a protein comprising the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 26. The amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 is the amino acid sequence of semaphorin-4B. The amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 26 is the amino acid sequence of plexin B1. The claims do not specify the nature of the antigen to which the antibody binds. Claim 8 is drawn to an antibody that inhibits the activity of a protein

Art Unit: 1643

comprising the amino acid sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 1. Claim 8 does not specify the nature of the antigen to which the antibody binds.

The specification provides 4 affinity purified polyclonal antibody preparations AS-2531, AS-2532, AS-2591 and AS-2592, which were made by immunizing rabbits with peptides of 12-15 amino acids in length from SEQ ID NO: 1 (SEQ ID NOS: 22-25) coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (pages 91-92). Antibodies AS-2532, AS-2591 and AS-2592 recognized SEMA4B (SEQ ID NO: 1) at a position near 100 kD molecular weight (pages 92-93). Each of antibodies AS-2531, AS-2532, AS-2591 and AS-2592 were able to immunoprecipitate SEMA4B protein (recognized non-denatured SEMA4B; see page 94). Antibodies AS-2531 and AS-2532 were able to induce apoptosis in non-small lung cancer cell line NCI-H2228 (page 100-101). Antibodies 1, 2 and 4 were able to inhibit the binding of SEMA4B to plexin B1 by 63%, 74% and 60%, respectively (see page 108-109), and were able to inhibit the in vitro growth of NCI-H358 cell line (see page 109-111). The exemplified antibodies bind to specific regions of SEQ ID NO: 1, whereas the scope of the claims is much broader, encompassing antibodies that bind to any antigen as long as the antibody has the ability to inhibit the binding of SEQ ID NO: 1 to SEQ ID NO: 26, or inhibit the activity of SEQ ID NO: 1.

For a claim drawn to a genus, the written description requirement may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. A

Art Unit: 1643

"representative number of species" means that the species, which are adequately described, are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus (see Official Gazette 1241 OG 174, January 30, 2001).

The exemplified antibodies are not representative of the scope of the claims because the exemplified antibodies bind to specific regions of SEQ ID NO: 1, whereas the scope of the claims is much broader encompassing antibodies claimed by functional attributes. With respect to the general functional limitation found in claim 8, inhibiting the function of SEQ ID NO: 1, the specification has not described all of the functions of SEQ ID NO: 1. With respect to binding of SEQ ID NO: 1 to SEQ ID NO: 26, the specification has not described the regions of SEQ ID NO: 1 or of SEQ ID NO: 26, nor any other possible additional proteins that are responsible for the binding between these two proteins.

Because the specification fails to provide a representative number of species with respect to the broad scope of the claims and because the specification has not correlated structure with function, the specification fails to provide an adequate description of the full scope of the claimed antibodies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sunahara (WO 2004/058817; published July 15, 2004; cited in the IDS).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

The inventive entity for the instant application is Sunahara and Ishii, whereas the inventive entity for "Sunahara" (WO 2004/058817) is Sunahara, Ishii, Yamamoto, and Sato. Therefore, the inventive entity of "Sunahara" is different from that of the instant application.

Sunahara discloses antibodies AS-2531, AS-2532, AS-2591 and AS-2592, which are the same as the antibodies disclosed in the instant application as those having the properties listed in the instant claims (see page 83, lines 8-9 of Sunahara). Therefore, Sunahara discloses the same antibodies as claimed.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Holleran, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Misook Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-0839. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

Application/Control Number: 10/584,183 Page 10

Art Unit: 1643

of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Official Fax number for Group 1600 is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner /Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643